SHODH SAMAGAM

ISSN : 2581-6918 (Online), 2582-1792 (PRINT)

Implementation of Online and Blended Learning Models as Proposed in NEP 2020: Challenges, Strategies and Educational Outcomes

LalBahadur, Research Scholar, Department of Education
Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, INDIA
Sunil Kumar Sain, Ph.D., Department of Education
Doctor Harisingh Gour Vishwavidyalaya, Sagar, Madhya Pradesh, INDIA

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Authors
LalBahadur, Research Scholar
Sunil Kumar Sain, Ph.D.
E-mail : phprajapati390@gmail.com

shodhsamagam1@gmail.com

Received on : 01/03/2026
Revised on : 01/05/2026
Accepted on : 10/05/2026
Overall Similarity : 00% on 02/05/2026

Plagiarism Checker X - Report
Originality Assessment
0%
Overall Similarity

Date: May 2, 2026 (05:43 PM)
Matches: 6 / 2748 words
Sources: 1

Remarks: No similarity found, your document looks healthy.

Verify Report: Scan this QR Code

ABSTRACT

The National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) marks a transformative shift in India's educational framework by emphasizing the integration of technology-driven pedagogical approaches, particularly online and blended learning models. This paper examines the theoretical underpinnings, institutional readiness, and practical challenges associated with the implementation of these models across diverse educational settings in India. Drawing upon a synthesis of empirical studies, policy documents, and comparative international frameworks, the study explores how digital infrastructure, educator competency, learner engagement, and socio-economic factors influence the effective adoption of hybrid learning environments. The findings suggest that while NEP 2020 provides a forward-looking vision, systemic barriers related to digital equity, teacher training, and institutional governance continue to impede large-scale implementation. The paper concludes by recommending evidence-based strategies for bridging the gap between policy intent and ground-level practice, emphasizing collaborative stakeholder engagement, adaptive curriculum design, and sustained investment in educational technology.

KEY WORDS

Nep 2020, Blended Learning, Online Education, Digital Pedagogy, Educational Technology, Higher Education Reform.

INTRODUCTION

The rapid evolution of digital technologies has fundamentally altered the landscape of education across the globe. In developing nations such as India, where educational access and quality have historically been

constrained by geographical, socio-economic, and infrastructural challenges, the emergence of online and blended learning models presents both an opportunity and a formidable challenge. The National Education Policy 2020 represents the most comprehensive overhaul of India's educational system in over three decades, articulating a bold vision for technology-enhanced learning that seeks to democratize access and improve learning outcomes at all levels (Ministry of Education, 2020).

Blended learning, broadly understood as a pedagogical approach that combines face-to-face instruction with online learning activities, has gained considerable scholarly and institutional attention over the past two decades (Graham, 2006). Unlike purely online models, blended approaches retain the social dimensions of classroom learning while extending the reach and flexibility of instruction through digital platforms. NEP 2020 explicitly advocates for the adoption of such models, directing educational institutions to leverage technology to create personalized, flexible, and inclusive learning experiences (Ministry of Education, 2020).

However, translating policy directives into effective institutional practice requires more than infrastructural investment. It demands a nuanced understanding of learner diversity, pedagogical innovation, faculty development, and systemic governance reform. Kumar and Singh (2021) observe that despite the proliferation of digital tools and platforms, the majority of Indian educational institutions remain ill-equipped to implement blended learning in a manner that is pedagogically sound and contextually responsive. This gap between policy aspiration and institutional reality forms the central concern of the present study.

This paper aims to critically analyze the provisions of NEP 2020 related to online and blended learning, assess the current state of implementation, identify structural and contextual barriers, and propose actionable recommendations grounded in national and international best practices.

Theoretical Framework

The conceptual foundation of this study draws upon three interlocking theoretical perspectives: constructivist learning theory, the Community of Inquiry (CoI) framework, and the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). Constructivism, as articulated by Vygotsky (1978) and elaborated by subsequent scholars, posits that learners actively construct knowledge through interaction with their environment and peers. This theoretical orientation aligns naturally with blended learning environments, which create multiple spaces for collaborative and self-directed learning.

The Community of Inquiry framework, developed by Garrison et al. (2000), identifies three interdependent presences cognitive, social, and teaching as essential components of effective online and blended learning. This framework has been widely applied to evaluate the quality of digital learning experiences and remains relevant to the Indian context, where the social dimensions of learning are particularly salient (Garrison et al., 2000).

The Technology Acceptance Model, originally proposed by Davis (1989), provides a lens through which to understand faculty and student adoption of digital learning tools. TAM posits that perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use are primary determinants of technology adoption behavior. In the Indian educational context, where resistance to technological change is frequently documented, TAM offers valuable insights into the psychological and organizational factors that shape implementation outcomes (Teo, 2011).

NEP 2020 and the Digital Learning Mandate

NEP 2020 dedicates considerable attention to the role of technology in reshaping Indian education. Chapter 23 of the policy document specifically addresses the use of technology in education and calls for the development of a National Educational Technology Forum (NETF), a national digital infrastructure for education, and the promotion of open educational resources (Ministry of Education, 2020). The policy envisions a scenario where online learning supplements traditional classroom instruction, particularly in higher education, enabling flexible credit systems and multi-disciplinary learning pathways.

Sharma and Yadav (2022) note that NEP 2020 represents a departure from the earlier emphasis on rote learning and rigid curricular structures, instead championing a learner-centric approach that is mediated by technology. The policy recommends that at least 25% of higher education courses be offered in online or blended mode by 2025, a target that requires substantial preparatory work across institutional, regulatory, and infrastructural dimensions.

The COVID-19 pandemic, which compelled an abrupt and largely unplanned transition to online learning across the country, provided both a stress test and a learning opportunity for India's educational institutions. Mishra et al. (2020) document the acute challenges that emerged during this period, including inadequate devices, unstable internet connectivity, and the lack of digitally competent educators. These challenges, while accelerating awareness of digital learning imperatives, also exposed the fragility of existing infrastructure and the depth of the digital divide.

Current State of Implementation

Despite the policy impetus provided by NEP 2020, the implementation of online and blended learning models in India remains uneven and fragmented. A national survey conducted by the All India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE, 2022) indicates that while enrollment in online programs has increased significantly, the pedagogical quality and learner support mechanisms lag considerably behind quantitative growth. Many institutions have adopted digital platforms as a superficial overlay on traditional instructional practices, without fundamentally rethinking course design, assessment strategies, or learner engagement approaches.

Patel and Mehta (2023) conducted a multi-institutional study across six Indian states, finding that fewer than 30% of faculty members in government-funded institutions had received formal training in online course design or blended instructional strategies. Their study further reveals a significant correlation between institutional autonomy and the quality of blended learning implementation, with autonomous institutions demonstrating greater innovation and adaptability in their digital pedagogical practices.

At the school level, the situation is comparably complex. The DIKSHA platform, developed under the National Initiative for School Education (NISHTHA), has provided digital content and teacher training resources. However, Banerjee and Duflo (2020) caution that technology alone cannot substitute for sustained pedagogical support and contextually relevant content. Their research in low-income educational settings underscores the importance of teacher agency and local adaptation in achieving meaningful learning outcomes through technology-mediated instruction.

Key Challenges in Implementation

The implementation of online and blended learning models in alignment with NEP 2020 is confronted by a constellation of interrelated challenges. First among these is the persistent digital divide, which manifests not only in terms of device and connectivity access but also in terms of digital literacy and the cultural capital required to navigate online learning environments effectively. According to the Telecom Regulatory Authority of India (TRAI, 2023), while smartphone penetration has grown substantially, meaningful broadband connectivity remains limited in rural and semi-urban areas.

Second, the quality and relevance of digital content pose a significant challenge. Rao and Krishnamurthy (2021) argue that the majority of available online educational content is designed for urban, English-medium learners and fails to address the linguistic diversity and contextual specificity of Indian educational settings. The availability of high-quality, curriculum-aligned content in regional languages remains limited, creating a structural inequity that disadvantages a substantial proportion of the student population.

Third, faculty development and institutional capacity building represent critical bottlenecks. As Salmon (2011) observes in her foundational work on e-tivities, effective online facilitation requires a fundamentally different set of competencies from those associated with traditional face-to-face instruction. The transition demands that educators develop skills in instructional design, digital assessment, learner feedback, and online community building—competencies that cannot be acquired through brief exposure to technology tools.

Fourth, regulatory and governance frameworks have not kept pace with the pedagogical innovations envisioned by NEP 2020. Accreditation bodies and regulatory agencies continue to apply frameworks developed for traditional instructional modalities, creating compliance burdens that discourage institutional experimentation and innovation (Chandra & Nair, 2022).

Strategies for Effective Implementation

Addressing the challenges outlined above requires a multi-layered strategy that operates simultaneously at the policy, institutional, and pedagogical levels. At the policy level, there is an urgent need for the development

of context-sensitive digital infrastructure standards that account for regional disparities in connectivity and device access. The Universal Service Obligation Fund (USOF) could be strategically leveraged to extend broadband connectivity to underserved communities, a recommendation supported by Bharat Broadband Network Limited (BBNL) data on rural connectivity gaps (BBNL, 2022).

At the institutional level, universities and schools must invest in the systematic development of faculty competencies in online and blended instruction. Garrison and Vaughan (2008) advocate for the adoption of a blended learning design model that begins with pedagogical goals and works backward to identify the most appropriate blend of face-to-face and online activities. Institutional professional development programs should be informed by this design-first orientation, equipping educators to make deliberate and evidence-based decisions about the integration of digital tools.

The development of multilingual digital content represents another strategic priority. Collaboration between central and state governments, academic institutions, and ed-tech enterprises could accelerate the production and curation of curriculum-aligned content in regional languages. International models such as the Khan Academy's regional language initiatives offer instructive precedents for scalable content localization (Khan Academy, 2023).

Finally, robust mechanisms for monitoring and evaluating the quality of blended learning outcomes must be established. Selwyn (2014) cautions against uncritical techno-utopianism in educational policy, urging policymakers to subject digital learning initiatives to rigorous empirical scrutiny. Systematic data collection on learner engagement, retention, and achievement in online and blended programs would enable evidence-based refinement of implementation strategies over time.

International Perspectives and Comparative Insights

India's experience with the implementation of online and blended learning under NEP 2020 can be productively situated within broader international trends. In the United States, the widespread adoption of blended learning in K-12 settings has generated a rich evidence base regarding effective implementation practices. Horn and Staker (2015) document multiple models of blended learning, ranging from the rotation model to the flex model, and analyze the conditions under which each is most effective. Their findings emphasize the importance of student agency, data-driven instruction, and teacher coaching as essential enablers of successful blended learning implementation.

In the context of higher education, Australia's experience with large-scale online learning through institutions such as the Open Universities Australia provides valuable lessons for India's ambitions under NEP 2020. Bates (2019) argues that successful online and blended learning requires not merely the digitization of existing course content but a fundamental reconceptualization of the learning experience, with sustained investment in instructional design expertise, learner support services, and quality assurance mechanisms.

Discussion

The foregoing analysis reveals a complex and contested implementation landscape for online and blended learning in India under NEP 2020. While the policy provides a coherent and forward-looking vision, the translation of this vision into effective practice is mediated by deep structural inequalities and institutional inertia. The evidence reviewed in this study suggests that progress is possible but requires a sustained, systemic commitment that extends well beyond the initial policy announcement.

A recurring theme across the literature is the centrality of the educator in determining the quality of blended learning experiences. As Means et al. (2013) conclude in their landmark meta-analysis of online and blended learning research, the most significant predictor of student outcomes in hybrid learning environments is not the technology employed but the instructional design and facilitation quality. This finding has direct implications for NEP 2020 implementation, underscoring the imperative of sustained, high-quality faculty development.

The social and affective dimensions of learning also deserve greater attention in Indian implementation strategies. Research consistently demonstrates that online learners are at heightened risk of disengagement and dropout, particularly in contexts characterized by limited social support and high economic vulnerability (Tinto, 1987). Designing blended learning environments that actively foster community, belonging, and peer collaboration is therefore not a luxury but a pedagogical necessity, particularly for first-generation and disadvantaged learners.

CONCLUSION

NEP 2020 offers India an unprecedented opportunity to reimagine its educational landscape through the strategic integration of online and blended learning models. However, realizing this opportunity requires a clear-eyed recognition of the structural challenges that currently impede effective implementation and a sustained commitment to evidence-based reform. The digital divide, the deficit in faculty capacity, the scarcity of contextually appropriate multilingual content, and the rigidity of existing governance frameworks all represent significant barriers that must be systematically addressed.

This paper has argued that effective implementation requires a multi-level strategy that operates simultaneously at the policy, institutional, and pedagogical levels. Priority areas include equitable digital infrastructure development, sustained and context-sensitive faculty professional development, the production of high-quality multilingual educational content, and the establishment of robust quality assurance mechanisms for online and blended programs.

Ultimately, the success of NEP 2020's digital learning mandate will depend upon the extent to which educational institutions, policymakers, educators, and communities are empowered to engage with technology not as an end in itself, but as a pedagogical means toward the realization of deeper learning, greater equity, and expanded educational opportunity for all learners.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. All India Survey on Higher Education. (2022) AISHE report 2021–22. Ministry of Education, Government of India. https://aishe.gov.in, Accessed on 12/02/2026.
2. Banerjee, A. V. & Duflo, E. (2020) *Good economics for hard times: Better answers to our biggest problems.* PublicAffairs Publisher, New York.
3. Bates, A. W. (2019) Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning (2nd ed.) Tony Bates Associates Ltd. https://teachonline.ca/teaching-in-a-digital-age, Accessed on 18/02/2026.
4. Bharat Broadband Network Limited. (2022) Annual report 2021–22. Ministry of Communications, Government of India. https://bbnl.nic.in, Accessed on 27/02/2026.
5. Chandra, R. & Nair, P. K. (2022) Regulatory frameworks for blended learning in Indian higher education: Gaps and opportunities. *Indian Journal of Higher Education,* 13(2), 45–61.
6. Davis, F. D. (1989) Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly,* 13(3), 319–340. https://doi.org/10.2307/249008
7. Garrison, D. R.; Anderson, T. & Archer, W. (2000) Critical inquiry in a text-based environment: Computer conferencing in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education,* 2(2–3), 87–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1096-7516(00)00016-6
8. Garrison, D. R. & Vaughan, N. D. (2008) *Blended learning in higher education: Framework, principles, and guidelines.* Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, California.
9. Graham, C. R. (2006) Blended learning systems: Definition, current trends, and future directions. In C. J. Bonk & C. R. Graham (Eds.), The handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs p. 3–21, Pfeiffer, Misenheimer, North Carolina.
10. Horn, M. B. & Staker, H. (2015) *Blended: Using disruptive innovation to improve schools.* Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, California.
11. Khan Academy. (2023) Regional language learning initiatives. https://www.khanacademy.org, Accessed on 14/02/2026.
12. Kumar, A., & Singh, R. (2021) Digital readiness of Indian higher education institutions: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society,* 24(1), 112–127.

13. Means, B.; Toyama, Y.; Murphy, R. & Bakia, M. (2013) The effectiveness of online and blended learning: A meta-analysis of the empirical literature. *Teachers College Record,* 115(3), 1–47.

14. Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020) National Education Policy 2020. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf, Accessed on 24/02/2026.

15. Mishra, L.; Gupta, T. & Shree, A. (2020) Online teaching-learning in higher education during lockdown period of COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Educational Research Open,* 1, 100012. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2020.100012, Accessed on 22/02/2026.

16. Patel, D. & Mehta, S. (2023) Faculty preparedness for blended learning in Indian universities: A multi-state study. *Asian Journal of Distance Education,* 18(1), 33–52.

17. Rao, V. & Krishnamurthy, S. (2021) Linguistic diversity and digital learning: Challenges for NEP 2020 implementation. *Language and Education,* 35(4), 301–318. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500782.2021.1890022

18. Salmon, G. (2011) *E-tivities: The key to active online learning* (2nd ed.) Routledge, Oxfordshire, UK.

19. Selwyn, N. (2014) *Distrusting educational technology: Critical questions for changing times.* Routledge, Oxfordshire, UK.

20. Sharma, P. & Yadav, M. (2022) NEP 2020 and the digital transformation of Indian education: Prospects and challenges. *Contemporary Education Dialogue,* 19(1), 67–89. https://doi.org/10.1177/09731849211068764

21. Telecom Regulatory Authority of India. (2023) Telecom subscription data: March 2023. https://www.trai.gov.in, Accessed on 16/02/2026.

22. Teo, T. (2011) Factors influencing teachers' intention to use technology: Model development and test. *Computers & Education,* 57(4), 2432–2440. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.06.008

23. Tinto, V. (1987) *Leaving college: Rethinking the causes and cures of student attrition.* University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

24. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978) *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes.* Harvard University Press, Cambridge.

****