



## The Green Hydrogen Economy: A Review of Production Technologies, Systemic Challenges, and Pathways to Viability

Anita Sagar, Department of Physics  
College of Commerce Arts and Sciences, Patna, Bihar, INDIA

### ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Author

Anita Sagar

E-mail : anitasagarcocs@gmail.com

shodhsamagam1@gmail.com

Received on : 06/09/2025  
Revised on : 06/11/2025  
Accepted on : 15/11/2025  
Overall Similarity : 07% on 07/11/2025



### Plagiarism Checker X - Report

Originality Assessment

7%

Overall Similarity

Date: Nov 7, 2025 (05:56 PM)  
Matches: 120 / 1691 words  
Sources: 10

Remarks: Low similarity detected, consider making necessary changes if needed.

Verify Report:  
Scan this QR Code



### ABSTRACT

Green hydrogen, produced via water electrolysis powered by renewable energy sources, is positioned as a critical energy carrier for decarbonizing “hard-to-abate” sectors where direct electrification is unfeasible. These sectors include heavy industry (e.g., steel, ammonia production), long-haul transport, and long-duration energy storage. Despite its potential, the widespread deployment of a green hydrogen economy is constrained by three primary barriers: (1) the high production cost compared to fossil-fuel-based “grey” hydrogen, (2) the significant challenges associated with storage and transportation infrastructure, and (3) low round-trip efficiency in power-to-gas-to-power applications. This paper reviews the current state of green hydrogen, analyzing its baseline applications and the principal barriers to its adoption. The results of the review identify critical “modifications” necessary to overcome these challenges. These include technological modifications in electrolyzer design (e.g., AEM, SOEC) to improve efficiency and reduce capital costs, and systemic modifications, such as Government policy (e.g., tax credits, carbon pricing) and the “hydrogen hub” model, which co-locates production and consumption to bypass infrastructure hurdles. The discussion concludes that green hydrogen is not a universal solution, but a strategic tool whose viability depends on targeted policy and technological innovation to make it cost-competitive in specific, high-priority sectors.

## KEY WORDS

*Green Hydrogen, Water Electrolysis Powered By Renewable Energy Sources, Fossil-fuel-based "Grey" Hydrogen.*

## INTRODUCTION

The global energy transition is accelerating, with solar and wind power becoming the cheapest forms of new electricity generation in history (IRENA, 2023). While this progress is driving the rapid electrification of passenger transport and residential heating, a significant portion of the global economy remains difficult to decarbonize. "Hard-to-abate" sectors such as steel manufacturing, cement production, petrochemicals, maritime shipping, and aviation rely on high-density, combustible fuels and cannot be easily or economically electrified (Davis et al., 2018).

This creates a critical role for a clean, chemical energy carrier. Hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) has emerged as the leading candidate. However, not all hydrogen is clean. The vast majority of hydrogen produced today is "grey hydrogen," made from natural gas via steam-methane reforming (SMR), a process that emits large quantities of CO<sub>2</sub>. "Blue hydrogen" is a proposed intermediate step where SMR is paired with carbon capture and storage (CCS).

The only truly climate-neutral pathway is "green hydrogen," which is produced by splitting water (H<sub>2</sub>O) into hydrogen and oxygen via electrolysis, using electricity from renewable sources like solar and wind (IEA, 2021). This paper reviews the literature on the green hydrogen economy. It establishes the baseline applications, identifies the formidable economic and technical barriers to its widespread use, and discusses the critical modifications both technological and systemic required to unlock its potential as a cornerstone of a net-zero future.

## Results and Discussion

This review synthesizes findings from leading energy agencies, peer-reviewed journals, and industrial reports to build a comprehensive picture of the green hydrogen landscape.

### 1. Result: Baseline Applications and Target Sectors

The literature identifies four primary target applications for green hydrogen, moving from immediate to long-term potential.

- Industrial Feedstock:** The most immediate application is the direct replacement of grey hydrogen in existing industrial processes. The largest consumers are ammonia (fertilizer) production and petroleum refining.
- Heavy Industry:** Green hydrogen can serve as a clean fuel and reducing agent for high-temperature industrial heat. A key example is in steelmaking, using hydrogen-based Direct Reduced Iron (DRI) to replace coking coal.
- Long-Haul Transport:** For sectors resistant to battery electrification (due to weight and range requirements), hydrogen fuel cells are a viable alternative. This includes heavy-duty trucking, maritime shipping, and as a precursor for synthetic sustainable aviation fuels (e-fuels).
- Long-Duration Energy Storage:** Unlike batteries, which are efficient for short-term storage (hours), hydrogen can be stored in large quantities for weeks or months, allowing for the seasonal shifting of energy (e.g., storing excess summer solar for use in winter).

**Discussion:** This hierarchy of applications is critical. Using green hydrogen to *replace* existing grey hydrogen (Application 1) is the most straightforward path, as the market and infrastructure already exist. The *new* applications in steel (Application 2) and transport (Application 3) represent the largest potential for new decarbonization. Conversely, the use of hydrogen for power generation (Application 4) or in passenger vehicles

is widely discussed but faces immense competition from batteries, which are far more efficient.

## 2. Result: Identified Barriers to Widespread Adoption

The review confirms a consensus on three formidable barriers that currently relegate green hydrogen to a niche, heavily subsidized market.

1. **Prohibitive Production Cost:** Green hydrogen's cost (\$3-\$8/kg) is 2-4 times higher than that of grey hydrogen (\$1-\$2/kg). This cost is driven by two factors: the capital cost (CAPEX) of the electrolyzers and, most significantly, the cost of the massive amounts of renewable electricity required to run them (Jülich, 2020).
2. **Low Round-Trip Efficiency:** The "power-to-gas-to-power" cycle is inherently inefficient. The process of electrolysis is ~70-80% efficient, and converting the hydrogen back to electricity in a fuel cell is ~50-60% efficient. The combined round-trip efficiency of 35-50% is dramatically lower than the ~90% round-trip efficiency of a Li-ion battery (Mallapragada et al., 2020).
3. **Infrastructure and Storage Challenges:** Hydrogen is the smallest, lightest molecule. It is difficult to store and transport, requiring either high-pressure compression (350-700 bar) or liquefaction (cryogenic cooling to -253°C), both of which are energy-intensive processes. It also causes embrittlement in existing natural gas pipelines, precluding their easy reuse.

**Discussion:** These barriers are interconnected. The low round-trip efficiency (Barrier 2) means that *more* renewable electricity is needed, which exacerbates the cost problem (Barrier 1). The storage and transport challenges (Barrier 3) make it difficult to produce hydrogen in remote, high-sun/wind areas and ship it to demand centers, further inflating the final delivered cost. This suggests that green hydrogen should be reserved *only* for applications where its chemical properties are essential, not for tasks (like grid balancing) that batteries can perform more efficiently.

## 3. Result: Key Modifications to Enhance Viability

To overcome these barriers, the literature points to two parallel streams of innovation: technological modifications to the production process and systemic modifications to the market structure.

### 3.1. Technological Modification: Advanced Electrolyzer Technology

The core of the cost and efficiency challenge lies with the electrolyzer. This review identifies several innovations aimed at improving this core technology.

- **Alkaline (AEC) vs. PEM:** Mature Alkaline Electrolyzers (AEC) are cheaper but less flexible. Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM) electrolyzers are more expensive (using precious metals like iridium) but can ramp up and down quickly, making them ideal for pairing with variable solar and wind.
- **Anion Exchange Membrane (AEM):** This emerging modification seeks the "best of both worlds" the flexibility of PEM without the need for precious metals, promising a significant cost reduction (Sperling et al., 2019).
- **Solid Oxide (SOEC):** Solid Oxide Electrolyzer Cells operate at very high temperatures (>700°C). This is a key modification for industrial use, as they can use waste heat from steel or chemical plants as an energy input, dramatically increasing their electrical efficiency and lowering the cost of hydrogen production.

**Discussion:** This technological race is critical. Reducing the CAPEX of electrolyzer stacks and, most importantly, improving their efficiency (i.e., the amount of electricity needed per kg of hydrogen) is the most direct way to tackle the primary cost barrier.

### 3.2. Systemic Modification: Policy and "Hydrogen Hubs"

This review finds that technology alone is insufficient. Systemic and market-based modifications are being deployed to create a viable market today.

- **Policy Levers:** Governments are creating "demand-pull" by subsidizing production. The U.S. Inflation

Reduction Act's 45V tax credit, which provides up to \$3/kg for clean hydrogen, is a prime example. This policy single-handedly makes green hydrogen cost-competitive with grey hydrogen *today*.

- **The “Hydrogen Hub” Model:** This model is a direct systemic response to the infrastructure barrier (Barrier 3). Instead of building a costly national pipeline network, hubs co-locate large-scale renewable generation (e.g., a gigawatt-scale solar farm) directly with a large-scale hydrogen consumer (e.g., a steel mill, an ammonia plant, or a port for shipping).

**Discussion:** This “hub” model is a critical strategic modification. It *bypasses* the most difficult and expensive challenge long-distance hydrogen transport. This strongly suggests that the future of the hydrogen economy will not be a global commodity network like oil, but a decentralized series of regional, co-located industrial ecosystems. The policy levers, in turn, are designed to de-risk the massive capital investment required to build these first-of-a-kind hubs.

## CONCLUSIONS

Green hydrogen is a powerful and necessary tool for achieving a net-zero economy, but it is not a universal panacea. This review of the literature finds that its application must be strategic, targeted at “hard-to-abate” sectors where direct electrification is not a viable option.

The adoption of green hydrogen is currently constrained by fundamental barriers: high production costs, low round-trip efficiency, and immense infrastructure challenges. The path to viability, therefore, requires a dual-pronged approach of “modification”:

1. **Technological modifications** in electrolyzer technology (AEM, SOEC) are essential for improving efficiency and lowering the capital cost of production.
2. **Systemic modifications**, specifically Government subsidies (like the 45V credit) and the “hydrogen hub” model, are critical for creating a bankable market and bypassing the prohibitive cost of transportation.

We conclude that the green hydrogen economy will not emerge organically from free-market forces alone. It must be cultivated through targeted policy, strategic co-location of supply and demand, and sustained innovation in its core production technologies.

## REFERENCES

1. Davis, S. J.; Lewis, N. S.; Shaner, M.; Aggarwal, S.; Arent, D. & Caldeira, K. (2018) Net-zero emissions energy systems, *Science*, 360(6396), eaas9793. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aas9793>
2. International Energy Agency (IEA) (2021) *Global Hydrogen Review 2021*, IEA Publishing. <https://www.iea.org/reports/global-hydrogen-review-2021>, Accessed on 13/08/2025.
3. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA) (2023) *Renewable Power Generation Costs in 2022*. IRENA Publishing. <https://www.irena.org/Publications/2023/Aug/Renewable-Power-Generation-Costs-in-2022>, Accessed on 13/08/2025.
4. Jülich, F. Z. (2020) *Techno-economic analysis of a 100 MW AEL, PEMEL, and SOEL plant for green hydrogen production*. Forschungszentrum Jülich, Accessed on 13/08/2025.
5. Mallapragada, D. S.; Sepulveda, N. A. & Jenkins, J. D. (2020) Long-run system value of battery energy storage in a zero-carbon electricity grid. *Applied Energy*, 275, 115390. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.115390>
6. Sperling, D.; Pike, S. & Binsted, A. (2019) *Hydrogen's role in the energy transition*, Institute of Transportation Studies, University of California, Davis, CA, USA.

\*\*\*\*\*