

SHODH SAMAGAM

ISSN : 2581-6918 (Online), 2582-1792 (PRINT)



The Human Cost of Digital Connectivity: HR Strategies for a Resilient Hybrid Workforce

Shreya Kharabe, Department of Management Studies, Sameer Pingle, Ph.D., Director
Symbiosis Centre for Management Studies, Nagpur, Maharashtra, INDIA

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Authors

Shreya Kharabe

Sameer Pingle, Ph.D.

E-mail : shreya.kharabe@scmsnagpur.edu.in

shodhsamagam1@gmail.com

Received on : 12/06/2025
Revised on : 13/08/2025
Accepted on : 22/08/2025
Overall Similarity : 01% on 14/08/2025



Plagiarism Checker X - Report

Originality Assessment

1%

Overall Similarity

Date: Aug 14, 2025 (06:16 PM)
Matches: 13 / 1857 words
Sources: 2

Remarks: Low similarity detected, consider making necessary changes if needed.

Verify Report:
Scan this QR Code



ABSTRACT

According to emerging trends, both employees and managers are bound to suffer from the consequences of prolonged periods of digital interactions. This paper analyzes the impact of technology stress on the health and operational productivity of the employee and the pivotal interventions the human resource (HR) department can make to manage these evolving issues. Using a combination of theory and practice, this researches the impact of hyper-connectivity, excessive digital screen time, and the invasion of work into personal spaces on the mind. Using a case-based approach on the individual's digital practices, the paper recommends the following HR interventions, creating policies on digital health, equitable employee workload, and training managers on emotionally charged leadership acts. The purpose of the interventions will emphasize and enhance the individual's mental capacity and the sustained engagement within a productivity-capturing work culture.

KEY WORDS

Human, Digital Connectivity, Strategies, Hybrid.

INTRODUCTION

The move towards a blended work model combining remote work with in-person collaboration has dramatically transformed organizational structures. While offering more convenience, this new model also brings with it a new, barely noticeable stress for employees, in the form of optical strain resulting from prolonged use of screens. We are witnessing the onset of new changes where employees show signs of mental fatigue, decreased attention span, and emotional

July to September 2025 www.shodhsamagam.com

A Double-Blind, Peer-Reviewed, Referred, Quarterly, Multi
Disciplinary and Bilingual International Research Journal

Impact Factor
SJIF (2025): 8.019

1016

exhaustion (Molino et al., 2020). These changes are prompting a shift in organizational focus towards employee welfare. Here, the role of Human Resources stands out as an important change-maker in transforming the employee experience to emphasize long term adaptability and sustained productivity in a hybrid, digitally-dependent work culture.

Literature Review

1. Technology-Based Stress and Cognitive Overload

In modern workplaces, employees come across screens, notifications, and online meetings. This type of exposure leads to a specific type of overload known as “technostress”; a condition whereby an individual fails to cope and adapt to the requirements of digital technologies (Tarafdar et al., 2015). This leads to lower job satisfaction and poorer decision-making, as well as insufficient psychological recovery in the time off tasks.

2. Impact of Being ‘Always On’ Work Culture

The new standard for employees to always be available has greatly altered the distinct line separating work and personal life. This ‘always-on’ culture has been referred to as telepressure. This ‘always-on’ culture has been referred to as telepressure. Along with chronic tiredness, it fosters a growing sense of emotional detachment (Barber and Santuzzi, 2015).

3. Strategic Contribution of HR in Building a Resilient Organization

When organizations focus on the employee experience by increasing autonomy and providing emotional support alongside well-being benefits, they are likely to build motivated, high-performing teams. Unfortunately, many hybrid work designs still

Research Design

This study sought to evaluate the impact of hybrid work arrangements on employee stress and productivity using a mixed-methods approach. Surveys capturing quantitative information were conducted using a questionnaire with 250 respondents from various fields and professions. Participants were asked regarding their technology use, experiences related to digital fatigue, and organizational perceived support. Qualitative data were also gathered through a set of 30 employee and 10 manager interviews, revealing environmental stressors and personal coping strategies. The study examined the effect of personal characteristics like introversion and conscientiousness, leadership approaches, and the design of the participants’ work spaces on burnout, resulting in a richer explanation of burnout in hybrid contexts.

Key Findings

1. The Impact of Screensharing and Emotional Drain

There is a clear link between prolonged use of screens and emotional drain. Employees who used screens for more than seven hours a day reported feeling burnout fatigue, which was closer to twice the likelihood of those who used screens for a lower duration. This was particularly the case for employees who had to attend numerous video meetings and multitask as it indicated a higher chance of cognitive fatigue and digital tiredness.

2. The Impact of Empathy from Managers and Empathy

The interviews highlighted the salient aspects of empathy from leaders in the teams. Managers who seemed to understand the nuances of burnout by managing recovery periods coupled with a change in the workload appeared to enhance morale amongst the teams. These managers acted as protectors to the onslaught of burnout that could arise from excessive digital exposure, which speaks volumes to the aforementioned empathy in a leader.

3. The Impact of Individual Strain and the Work Environment

The experience of fatigue with the use of digital devices could stem from some individual and stylistic traits. Employees with a higher level of conscientiousness appeared to be more adaptable with the new hybrid model and the withdrawing traits appeared to be more common with the introverted individuals. Also, employees who had a private, quiet working environment reported

4. Omissions in Organizational Policy

Lack of formal policy frameworks for digital overload recognition in organizational Recovery from Work Strategies (RWS) boundaries delineation of digital boundaries remain a challenge. In the absence of organized frameworks, a self- management paradigm routinely emerged among the workforce, which in most cases was counterproductive. It underscores the immediate requirement of HR frameworks which embeds recovery and digital hygiene practices customized for the organization.

HR-Led Solutions for Sustainable Hybrid Work

To improve employee wellness and productivity in hybrid working arrangements, Human Resources (HR) practitioners must adopt a deliberate, people-focused approach. The following strategies are suggested:

- **Digital Wellness Education:** Organisations must provide training and raise awareness about healthy screen usage. Employees should be educated about the importance of breaks, managing exposure, and setting “no screen” presence limits (Sonntag, 2018). “Digital hygiene” promotes cognitive wellness and supports mental hygiene by preventing cognitive fatigue.
- **Data-Informed Workload Management:** Human Resources (HR) are able to oversee task assignments, meetings, and interactions on various platforms using people analytics. This allows for optimal allocation of workload resources and the prevention of burnout (Tarafdar et al., 2015) improving sustainability in role assignments.
- **Clearly Defined Work Boundaries and Recovery Time:** The setting of defined working hours and the promotion of “digitally off” times can work in favor of the employee’s personal time. These limits effectively reduce telepressure and enhance a necessary mental distancing from work aids recovery and sustains employee engagement (Barber & Santuzzi, 2015).
- **Empathy-Driven Leadership Development:** Empathetic leadership begins simply yet deeply. Compulsory “break” training for managers that uses experiential techniques to increase emotional intelligence simultaneously cultivates empathy. Psychological safety, where employees are listened to and feel safe to share their viewpoints, increases morale and reduces burnout.
- **Supportive Physical and Mental Workspaces:** Focus-enhancing remote workspaces devoid of distractions are best cultivated for and by employees themselves. Organizations also need to do their part, such as funding ergonomic assessments of remote workspaces. These initiatives highlight the importance of physical comfort in hybrid work models.

A Holistic HR Framework for Digital Resilience

To maximize and manage employee wellness within blended work arrangements, organizations need to embed systems-driven HR practices as the foundational culture. This approach regards employee wellness as a strategic business imperative rather than reactive organizational measures addressing reputational risk (Kniffin et al., 2021; Deloitte, 2022).

- **Early Detection Mechanisms:** Proactive and scheduled check-ins on well-being, pulse surveys, and digital fatigue assessments need to be implemented to capture the emergent signs of burnout. Early intervention through these mechanisms allows swift action to disrupt the accumulation of stress that leads to chronic disengagement or employee burnout (Gallup, 2023; WHO, 2022).
- **Preventive Integration:** Candidate wellness should also be a performance evaluation criterion as well as incorporated within the design of the role. Viewed as a measurable digital goal, on par to

productivity and expected output, wellness allows HR to drive inter-department collaboration towards better work practices (Kaufman, 2020; McKinsey & Company, 2021).

- **Responsive Support Systems:** Structured stigma-free support such as Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs), mental health support systems, and flexible leave policies need to be actively made available. Supportive HR policies ensure that help-seeking behaviors can be acted on, which fosters resilience and trust (American Psychological Association [APA], 2021; CIPD, 2023).
- **Strategic Wellness Alignment:** Monitoring metrics such as burnout, engagement, and retention in relation to organizational strategy requires ongoing adjustment of wellness initiatives, and efforts to shift them as needed. This encompasses retiring and developing programs based on shifting workforce needs and emerging technologies (Harvard Business Review, 2022; SHRM, 2023).

CONCLUSION

The hybrid work model offers a sophisticated balance between effort and convenience. Digital technologies have greatly improved workflows and collaboration, but their continuous use and unrelenting attendance in routines that are boring and stressful pose a serious risk to engagement and health. This essay discusses the necessity for HR to become active defenders of the employee experience rather than just administrators. HR's capacity to create robust, human-centered workplaces is improved by hybrid work models and practices, which promote digital well-being, flexible work schedules, rethinking the wellness agenda within organizational frameworks, and proactive leadership. A careful, systems-based approach based on empathy, efficient policies, and reliable data is required because it is believed that treating everyone equally and consistently throughout the organization offers the best chance of achieving the desired results—technological advantages, increased organizational vitality, improved employee engagement, and enhanced organizational performance (Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development [CIPD], 2023; Kniffin et al., 2021).

REFERENCES

1. American Psychological Association (2021) Workplace mental health: Policies and practices to support employees. <https://www.apa.org/topics/mental-health/workplace>, Accessed on 10/05/2025.
2. Ayyagari, R.; Grover, V. & Purvis, R. (2011) Technostress: Technological antecedents and implications. *MIS Quarterly*, 35(4), 831–858. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41409963>
3. Barber, L. K. & Santuzzi, A. M. (2015) Please respond ASAP: Workplace telepressure and employee recovery. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 20(2), 172–189. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038278>
4. Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development (CIPD) (2023) Health and well-being at work survey. <https://www.cipd.org>, Accessed on 12/05/2025.
5. Deloitte (2022) *Well-being and the future of work*, <https://www2.deloitte.com>, Accessed on 22/05/2025.
6. Gallup (2022) State of the Global Workplace Report. <https://www.gallup.com/workplace/349484/state-of-the-global-workplace.aspx>, Accessed on 16/05/2025.
7. Gallup (2023) State of the Global Workplace 2023 Report, <https://www.gallup.com>, Accessed on 12/05/2025.
8. Harvard Business Review (2022). Well-being: A strategic priority for organizational success. <https://hbr.org>, Accessed on 08/05/2025.

9. Kaufman, B. E. (2020) Strategic HRM: A systems perspective, *Human Resource Management Journal*, 30(4), 500–515, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12300>
10. Kniffin, K. M.; Narayanan, J.; Anseel, F.; et al. (2021) COVID-19 and the workplace: Implications, issues, and insights for future research and action, *American Psychologist*, 76(1), 63–77. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000716>
11. McKinsey & Company (2021). Mental health in the workplace: The coming revolution. <https://www.mckinsey.com>, Accessed on 13/05/2025.
12. Molino, M.; Ingusci, E.; Signore, F.; Manuti, A.; Giancaspro, M. L.; Russo, V.; Zito, M. & Cortese, C. G. (2020) Wellbeing costs of technology use during COVID-19 remote working, *Sustainability*, 12(15), 5911. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12155911>
13. SHRM (Society for Human Resource Management) (2023) 2023 Employee Benefits Survey. <https://www.shrm.org>, Accessed on 18/05/2025.
14. Sonnentag, S. (2018). The recovery process: What can we learn from research on leisure and recovery? *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 27(2), 124–129. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721417741712>
15. Spagnoli, P.; Molino, M.; Molinaro, D.; Giancaspro, M. L. & Ghislieri, C. (2020) Workaholism and technostress during the COVID-19 emergency: The crucial role of the leaders, *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(21), 8033. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17218033>
16. Tarafdar, M.; Pullins, E. B. & Ragu-Nathan, T. S. (2015) Technostress: Negative effect on performance and possible mitigations, *Information Systems Journal*, 25(2), 103–132. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12042>
17. World Health Organization (2022) Mental health and work: Policy brief. <https://www.who.int>, Accessed on 21/05/2025.
